



ESMO 2018: CTONG 1103 Finds Benefit With Neoadjuvant Erlotinib in Some *EGFR*-Mutated NSCLCs

By The ASCO Post

Posted: 11/2/2018 10:52:38 AM

Last Updated: 11/2/2018 10:52:38 AM

Key Points

- The objective response rate for neoadjuvant erlotinib vs gemcitabine plus cisplatin chemotherapy was 54.1%.
- Median progression-free survival was significantly longer with erlotinib at 21.5 months vs gemcitabine plus cisplatin chemotherapy at 11.9 months.
- Grade 3 and 4 toxicities were fewer in the erlotinib arm (0%) compared to the gemcitabine plus cisplatin arm (29.4%).

Neoadjuvant erlotinib (Tarceva) benefits selected patients with epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*)-mutated non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) who undergo complete resection of stage IIIA-N2 disease, according to a randomized study comparing erlotinib with gemcitabine plus cisplatin as neoadjuvant treatment. The findings were presented by Zhong et al at the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) 2018 Congress ([Abstract LBA48_PR](#)).

“Our results suggest promise for the use of biomarker-guided neoadjuvant *EGFR* tyrosine kinase inhibitor treatment strategies in stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC,” said **Yi-Long Wu, MD**, Tenured Professor at Guangdong Lung Cancer Institute in Guangzhou, China, and principal investigator of the CTONG 1103 study. “This is the first study to demonstrate progression-free survival superiority for erlotinib over gemcitabine plus cisplatin chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant/adjunct setting of stage IIIA-N2 *EGFR*-mutated NSCLC,” Dr. Wu added.

CTONG 1103 Results

A total of 386 patients from 17 centers in China were screened, and 72 were randomly assigned 1:1 to therapy and included in the intention-to-treat population. The objective response rate (ORR) for neoadjuvant erlotinib vs gemcitabine plus cisplatin chemotherapy was 54.1% (95% confidence interval [CI] = 37.2%–70.9%) vs 34.3% (95% CI = 17.7%–50.8%) with an odds ratio of 2.26 (95% CI = 0.87–5.84; $P = .092$). After neoadjuvant therapy, 83.8% of patients in the erlotinib group and 68.6% in the gemcitabine-plus-cisplatin group underwent surgery.

Median progression-free survival was significantly longer with erlotinib at 21.5 months (95% CI = 19.3–23.6) vs gemcitabine-plus-cisplatin chemotherapy at 11.9 months (95% CI = 9.1–14.7) with a hazard ratio of 0.42 (95% CI = 0.23–0.76; $P = .003$). Overall survival is too immature to report, said Dr Wu.

Grade 3 and 4 toxicities were fewer in the erlotinib arm (0%) compared to the gemcitabine/cisplatin arm (29.4%).

Current treatment strategies for resected stage IIIA-N2 *EGFR*-mutated NSCLC are controversial, explained Dr Wu, but *EGFR* tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been shown to improve the prognosis of patients with advanced *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC.

“Cisplatin-based doublet chemotherapy as neoadjuvant treatment for stage IIIA-N2 NSCLC only gives patients [a] 5% 5-year overall survival benefit,” said Dr. Wu, explaining the unmet medical need in this patient population. “Recently, the CTONG 1104 trial [published by Zhong et al in *Lancet Oncology*] showed for the first time that adjuvant ... gefitinib [Iressa] could improve disease-free survival by 10 months compared to adjuvant chemotherapy (28.7 vs 18.0 months) in N1–N2 resected NSCLC. This raises the possibility that *EGFR* tyrosine kinase inhibitors may play a beneficial role in the neoadjuvant setting for this subgroup.”

The content in this post has not been reviewed by the American Society of Clinical Oncology, Inc. (ASCO®) and does not necessarily reflect the ideas and opinions of ASCO®.